# NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

# IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

### DIVISION SEVEN

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

COTES JEWEL BRAXTON,

Defendant and Appellant.

B289149

(Los Angeles County Super. Ct. No. MA068861)

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County. Daviann L. Mitchell, Judge. Affirmed.

Taylor L. Clark, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

| No | appearance | tor | Ρ. | laintiff | and | App | ell | ant. |
|----|------------|-----|----|----------|-----|-----|-----|------|
|    |            |     |    |          |     |     |     |      |

# FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On June 14, 2016 the People charged Cotes Jewel Braxton with second degree robbery. Braxton waived her constitutional rights to a preliminary hearing and a trial and pleaded no contest to the charge. The trial court suspended imposition of sentence and placed Braxton on five years of formal probation on condition she complete 60 days of community service and a three-month alcohol treatment program. The court also dismissed six pending misdemeanor cases under Penal Code section 1385. The court revoked and terminated probation in another case in which Braxton had been convicted of driving under the influence (Veh. Code, § 23152, subd. (b)) and the court sentenced her to 180 days in county jail with credit for time served.

On April 24, 2017 Braxton appeared in court and admitted she had violated probation in this case as a result of a new case charging her with one count of transporting or selling a controlled substance in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11352, subdivision (a), and failing to attend the three-month alcohol treatment program. The trial court ordered Braxton to complete a 365-day residential treatment facility.

On September 15, 2017 the trial court revoked Braxton's probation and issued a bench warrant after her attorney reported Braxton had left the residential treatment facility in July 2017. The court subsequently recalled the warrant and scheduled a probation violation hearing.

On February 5, 2018 Braxton waived her rights to a probation violation hearing and admitted she had violated probation by failing to complete the residential alcohol treatment program, failing to report to her probation officer, and failing to

complete the three-month alcohol treatment program. The trial court revoked and terminated Braxton's probation and sentenced her to a prison term of five years. Braxton filed a timely notice of appeal.

#### DISCUSSION

We appointed counsel to represent Braxton in this appeal. After reviewing the record, counsel filed an opening brief raising no issues. On December 11, 2018 we gave Braxton notice she had 30 days to submit a brief or letter raising any grounds of appeal, contentions, or arguments she wanted us to consider. We have not received a response.

We have examined the record and are satisfied that appellate counsel for Braxton has complied with her responsibilities and that there are no arguable issues. (*Smith v. Robbins* (2000) 528 U.S. 259, 277-284 [120 S.Ct. 746, 145 L.Ed.2d 756]; *People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 118-119; *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441-442.)

## DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

SEGAL, J.

We concur:

ZELON, Acting P. J.

FEUER, J.